

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/692,037 10/23/2003		John Kevin Liles	2003-0131	6442	
7590 01/19/2005			EXAMINER		
Robert F. Frijouf			SCHULTERBRANDT, KOFI A		
Frijouf, Rust & Pyle, P.A. 201 East Davis Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Tampa, FL 33606			3632		

DATE MAILED: 01/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					1m			
		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/692,0	037	LILES, JOHN KEVIN				
		Examine	er .	Art Unit				
		Kofi A. S	chulterbrandt	3632				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	ation appears on th	ne cover sheet with	the correspondence address	í 			
THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, consions of time may be available under the provisions of r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statufure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e ication. days, a reply within the strong period will apply and the strong period will apply and the apply apply and the apply apply and the apply apply apply and the apply appl	event, however, may a repl atutory minimum of thirty (will expire SIX (6) MONTH oplication to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communi IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 23 October 20	03.					
,	·)⊠ This action is			•			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the								
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> are subject to restriction	withdrawn from c						
Applicat	ion Papers							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The specification is objected to by the I		_					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Applicant may not request that any objection	- ,	•	` '				
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be	•	J	•	` '			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of application from the International	ocuments have be ocuments have be the priority docum al Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in App nents have been re ule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage	е			
Attachmer	• •							
1)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC)-Q48)		nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date			rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Group I. Claims 1-23, drawn to a wire support, classified in class 40, subclass 607.06.
- Group II. Claims 24-28, drawn to a method of making a wire support, classified in class 248, subclass 153.
- Group III. Claim 29-36, drawn to a twisting apparatus, classified in class 57, subclass 9.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions method of making a wire support and twisting apparatus are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can clearly be used for twisting, for example, rope or any other stranded item.

Furthermore, Inventions twisting apparatus and wire support are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different

Art Unit: 3632

product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the product can be made by a number of twisting apparatuses and by hand.

Moreover, Inventions method of making a wire support and wire support are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by twisting the intermediates strands and adding the upper and lower section separately.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Groups II or III and the search required for group II is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I, Figures 1, 4, 7, 8 and 9

Species II, Figures 5 and 6

Species III Figures 10-12; and

Species IV Figures 13-25.

Application/Control Number: 10/692,037

Art Unit: 3632

If Applicant chooses Group I above, Applicant must also choose one of Species I and II (intermediate section twisted all the way up or space left to hold the sign). If Applicant chooses Group III above, Applicant must also choose one of Species III and IV (one of the methods of gripping the wire in the twisting apparatus).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 10/692,037

Art Unit: 3632

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Robert F. Frijouf on January 13, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kofi A. Schulterbrandt whose telephone number is (703) 306-0096. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie A. Braun can be reached on (703) 308-2156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/692,037

Art Unit: 3632

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 6

Kofi Schulterbrandt January 13, 2005